Marc J. Randazza, SBN 269535
Alex J. Shepard, SBN 295058
RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP, PLLC
8991 W. Flamingo Rd., Ste. B
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
Telephone: 702-420-2001
ecf@randazza.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Hooman Abedi Karamian a/k/a Nik Lamas-Richie

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

HOOMAN ABEDI KARAMIAN a/k/a NIK LAMAS-RICHIE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

EVANDER KANE,

Defendant

Case No.: 5:26-cv-06348-NW
Judge: Noel Wise

NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i), Plaintiff Hooman Abedi Karamian a/k/a Nik Lamas-Richie ("Richie") voluntarily dismisses without prejudice all claims asserted in his Complaint (ECF No. 1). Defendant Evander Kane ("Kane") has not filed an answer or motion for summary judgment, and so Richie may voluntarily dismiss this action without a court order.

Richie filed this action due to Kane's attorneys coming up with one of the most bizarre legal theories one could imagine. Evander Kane secured an injunction against his ex-wife, Anna Kane, in a court in Alberta. That injunction prohibited Anna Kane from speaking about Evander. Nik Richie, an American Journalist, interviewed Anna Kane. Evander Kane wanted the interview off the air, so he got his lawyers to both threaten (ECF No. 1-2, Kane demand letter) and follow through on the threat to try and get an American court to enforce the injunction against the American Journalist.

RANDAZZA | LEGAL GROUP

Evander Kane filed a petition for a civil harassment restraining order in Orange County, California. He specifically requested that the Orange County court censor statements allegedly in violation of the Alberta Judgment. ECF No. 30-1 at 9 & 19, ¶ 23. Even more bizarrely, at least initially, Kane obtained a TRO in that California proceeding against Richie based in part on statements that allegedly violated the Alberta Judgment (ECF No. 23-1 at 25), and Kane registered the Alberta Judgment in a California court. ECF No. 23-1 at 7–16.

After Richie filed this action, however, Kane all of the sudden retreated from his formerly steadfast position that he could enforce a prior restraint against his ex-wife against a third party. Kane filed his Motion to Dismiss in this action, disclaiming any intent to enforce the Alberta Judgment against Richie and finally admitting that the Alberta Judgment did not bind Richie in any way. ECF No. 23 at 12, 15, 19–21. And because of this tuck and run, when Kane filed his application to reinstate and modify the prior TRO in the Harassment Case, Kane expressly disclaimed reliance on the Alberta Judgment. ECF No. 30-3 at 8, 25–27.

In other words, by the mere act of filing this lawsuit, Richie materially changed the relationship between the parties and obtained via Kane's concessions what he sought in the form of declaratory relief. Richie has already achieved his goals in bringing this Action, and there is no longer a need to pursue it. Richie has prevailed and now voluntarily dismisses his claims. He does so without prejudice to preserve his rights in the event Kane changes his position once more.

Dated: September 21, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP, PLLC
/s/ Alex J. Shepard
Marc J. Randazza, SBN 269535
Alex J. Shepard, SBN 295058
8991 W. Flamingo Rd., Ste. B
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
Telephone: 702-420-2001
ecf@randazza.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Hooman Abedi Karamian
a/k/a Nik Lamas-Richie

RANDAZZA | LEGAL GROUP

Case No. 5:26-cv-06348-NC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 21, 2026, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I further certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document is being served via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alex J. Shepard
Alex J. Shepard

RANDAZZA | LEGAL GROUP